MAIL STOP - PCT Attorney Docket No.: 27580U Attorney: GMN / SMM

DEC 29 2005 E

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of:

MAIER, et al.

Application No.: 10/591,477

Filed: September 1, 2006

Intl. Application No.: PCT/EP2005/051086

Intl. Filing Date: 10 March 2005 (10.03.2005)

Title: NOVEL SULFONYLPYRROLES

### TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith for filing in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is the following:

- Submission of Documents to Supplement Filing Documents under 35 USC 371;
- 3) PCT/ISA/237 (Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority).

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any excess to Deposit Account Number 14-0112.

Respectfully submitted, NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC

December 29, 2006

By:

Gary M. Nath, Reg. No. 26,965 Sheldon M. McGee, Reg. No. 50,454

Customer No. 34375

GMN/SMM/ct

MAIL STOP - PCT Attorney Docket No.: 27580U Attorney: GMN / SMM

0EC 2 9 2006 pg

### THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

MAIER, et al.

Application No.: 10/591,477

Filed: September 1, 2006

Intl. Application No.: PCT/EP2005/051086

Intl. Filing Date: 10 March 2005 (10.03.2005)

Title: NOVEL SULFONYLPYRROLES

### SUBMISSION OF DOCUMENTS TO SUPPLEMENT FILING DOCUMENTS UNDER 35 USC 371

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### Sir:

In order to supplement the filing documents for the national phase filing Under USC 371 commenced on **September 1, 2006**, applicant now submits the following documents:

- 1) PCT/IB/373 (International Preliminary Report on Patentability);
- 2) PCT/ISA/237 (Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority).

Please charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account Number 14-0112.

Respectfully submitted, NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC

December 29, 2006 By:

Gary M. Nath, Reg. No. 26,965

Sheldon M. McGee, Reg. No. 50,454

Customer No. 34375

GMN/SMM/ct

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference 1250WOORD01   | FOR FURTHER ACTION  | See item 4 below  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| International application No.<br>PCT/EP2005/051086  | International filing date (day/month/year) 10 March 2005 (10.03.2005) | Priority date (day/month/year) 11 March 2004 (11.03.2004) |  |  |
| International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237 |   |   |  |  |
| Applicant<br>ALTANA PHARMA AG   |   |   |  |  |

| 1. | This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).                               |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|
|    |   | ,  |  |  |
| 2. | This REPORT consists of a total of 9 sheets, including this cover sheet.  |  |  |  |
|    | In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead. |  |  |  |
| 3. | This report contains indications relating to the following items:   |  |  |  |
|    | Box No. I   | Basis of the report  |  |  |
|    | Box No. Π   | Priority   |  |  |
|    | Box No. III   | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability   |  |  |
|    | Box No. IV  | Lack of unity of invention   |  |  |
|    | Box No. V   | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement                                    |  |  |
|    | Box No. VI  | Certain documents cited  |  |  |
|    | Box No. VII   | Certain defects in the international application   |  |  |
|    | Box No. VIII  | Certain observations on the international application  |  |  |
| 4. | The International Bureau will conot, except where the applicant to date (Rule 44bis .2).  | mmunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but nakes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority |  |  |

|   | Date of issuance of this report<br>13 September 2006 (13.09.2006) |  |
|---|---|--|
| The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland | Authorized officer Yolaine Cussac                                 |  |
| Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70  | e-mail: pt11@wipo.int   |  |

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D **6 6 OCT 2005** 

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

| То:  |                              | AP   | PCT                                       |
|--|------------------------------|--|---|
| see form PCT/ISA/220   |                              | WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY |   |
|  |                              | (F   | PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)                |
|  |                              | Date of mailing (day/month/year) se                      | e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)         |
| Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220   |                              | FOR FURTHER .<br>See paragraph 2 belo                    |   |
| International application No.<br>PCT/EP2005/051086   | International filing date (c | day/month/year)  | Priority date (day/monthlyear) 11.03.2004 |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C07D207/48, A61K31/40, A61K31/4025, C07D403/12, C07D401/12, C07D409/12 |                              |  |   |
| Applicant ALTANA PHARMA AG   |                              |  |   |

This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

| X           | Box No. I    | Basis of the opinion   |
|-------------|--------------|--|
|             | Box No. II   | Priority   |
| $\boxtimes$ | Box No. III  | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability   |
|             | Box No. IV   | Lack of unity of invention   |
| ×           | Box No. V    | Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, Inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
|             | Box No. VI   | Certain documents cited  |
| $\boxtimes$ | Box No. VII  | Certain defects in the international application   |
|             | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the International application  |

### 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the international Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of malling of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - Gitschiner Str. 103 D-10958 Berlin

Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0 Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840 **Authorized Officer** 

Frelon, D

Telephone No. +49 30 25901-312



### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

|    | Вох           | No          | I Basis of the opinion  |
|----|---------------|-------------|---|
| 1. | With<br>the I | reg         | ard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in uage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.   |
|    |               | lang        | opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).   |
| 2. | With          | reg<br>essa | ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:  |
|    | a. ty         | pe (        | of material:  |
|    |               | ]           | a sequence listing  |
|    |               | ]           | table(s) related to the sequence listing  |
|    | b. fo         | orma        | ut of material:   |
|    | ם             | ]           | in written format   |
|    | ַ             |             | in computer readable form   |
|    | c. ti         | me          | of filing/furnishing:   |
|    |               | ]           | contained in the international application as filed.  |
|    | ſ             | ]           | filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  |
|    | t             | 3           | furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.  |
| 3  | . 📮           | ha          | addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto<br>s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional<br>bies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as<br>propriate, were furnished. |
| 4  | Δda           | ditio       | nal comments:   |

# International application No. PCT/EP2005/051086

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

| Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  |   |                  |  |  |  |
|---|---|------------------|--|--|--|
| The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of: |   |                  |  |  |  |
|   | the entire international application,   |                  |  |  |  |
| $\boxtimes$   | claims Nos. 18-20   |                  |  |  |  |
| bec   | ause:   |                  |  |  |  |
|   | the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify): |                  |  |  |  |
|   | the description, claims or drawi<br>unclear that no meaningful opin   | ngs (            | (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so could be formed (specify):   |  |  |
|   | the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.  |                  |  |  |  |
| ⊠   | no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 18-20 with regard to industrial applicability                           |                  |  |  |  |
|   | the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:                       |                  |  |  |  |
|   | the written form  |                  | has not been furnished   |  |  |
|   |   |                  | does not comply with the standard  |  |  |
|   | the computer readable form  |                  | has not been furnished   |  |  |
|   |   |                  | does not comply with the standard  |  |  |
|   | the tables related to the nucleonot comply with the technical re  | itide :<br>equir | and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions. |  |  |
|   | See separate sheet for further  | deta             | ils  |  |  |

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-20

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-17

1-20

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

### Re Item III

Claims 18 to 20 are directed to methods for treatment of the human or animal body by surgery or therapy. It relates to subject-matter considered by the ISA to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT.

For the assessment of the present claims 18 to 20 on the question whether their subject-matter is industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT). The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Under the terms of Rule 39.1(iv) PCT, the ISA was not required to carry out a search of such claims, but as indicated in the ISR, the search was carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compounds. Similarly, the IPEA (which is the ISA) is not required to carry out an International preliminary examination of such claims, but as for the ISR, the IPER will be based on the alleged effects of the compounds (Rule 67.1 (iv) PCT).

#### Re Item V

#### 1. Cited documents

- D1: WO 01/38322
- D2: MILLER THOMAS A ET AL: JOURNAL OF MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY, vol. 46, no. 24, 2003, pages 5097-5116
- D3: MAI, ANTONELLO ET AL: JOURNAL OF MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY, vol. 47, no. 5, 2004, pages 1098-1109
- D4: MAI, ANTONELLO ET AL: JOURNAL OF MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY, vol. 46, no. 4, 2003, pages 512-524

D5: BOUCHAIN, GILIANE ET AL: JOURNAL OF MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY, vol. 46, no. 5, 2003, pages 820-830

D6: REMISZEWSKI STACY W: CURRENT OPINION IN DRUG DISCOVERY & DEVELOPPEMENT, vol. 5, 2002, pages 487-499

### 2. Novelty

The present subject-matter differs essentially from the prior art by the disposition of the central pyrrol ring attached to a sulfonyl group.

In D1 and D2, the two ends R6-SO<sub>2</sub>- and -(R2)C=C(R3)-CO-NH-R7 are already disclosed in connection with HDAC inhibiting agents. They are linked to each other through an unsaturated system (delocalised electrons) in place of the pyrrol according to the invention: see examples 16, 18, 87-91, 93-120, 122, 123, 136, 159-168 and 170 of D1; oxamflatin (compound 9) and compounds of tables 7, 8 and 12 of D2. In D3 (compounds 1a, 1b and table 1) and D4 (table 1), the central pyrrol is present but attached to a carbonyl group.

### 3. Inventive step

- 3.1 The problem underlying the present application is to provide HDAC inhibitors which are useful in the treatment of cancer, rheumatoid arthritis, Huntington's disease or inflammatory disease. All prior art compounds cited possess the activity.
- 3.2 The closest state of the art seen in D1 to D2 teaches that the presence of the (hetero)aromatic ring-sulfonyl-[ring including unsaturated link]-hydroxamic/2-aminobenzamide sequence is necessary for the HDAC inhibiting activity.

A structurally close skeleton is observed in compounds of D3 and D4: here a carbonyl link is in place of the sulfonyl link, but the skilled person is well aware of the usual equivalence of these groups (see for instance D1 which illustrates this known fact in its definition of the link W). Furthermore D3 an D4 teach that the unsaturated link can be a pyrrol conjugating link.

Figures 1 and 5 of D3 indicate some possible variations of the basic core which is also

shown on its binding mode in figure 6 of D4. Additionally, compound 16 of D5 confirms the relative variability of the central (hetero)aromatic ring (here a pyridine ring in place of the pyrrol according to the present application or D3 and D4 or in place of the phenyl according to D1 and D2).

D5 describes also compound 11 of figure 4 as a histone deacetylase inhibitor. This compound essentially differ by a phenyl ring in place of the pyrrol and a NH link in place of the T1 link.

Finally figure 14 of D2 illustrates the isosteric relationship which covers common elements of the central part of the molecules, *i.e.* the features which appear essential to the biological activity.

The skilled person will combine the prior art teachings and come directly to the present subject-matter expecting that they are active HDAC inhibitors. The provided tests confirm this expectation but they do not demonstrate that the particular feature which differentiates the invention vis-à-vis the state of the art (*i.e.* the sulphonyl linked to the pyrrol nitrogen atom) originates an unexpected effect. In order to substantiate the presence of an inventive step by way of comparative tests, the comparison should have been run between compounds which differ only by the said distinguishing feature.

Note the reference to the commercial utility (page 60) is not usually an argument for inventivity.

#### 4. Miscellaneous

**4.1** Since examples are, by definition, illustrative of the invention, they normally should not serve any limiting purpose. Any expression like "the scope is not limited only to those described characteristics or embodiments" or "the examples serve to illustrate the invention further without restricting it" is superfluous and should be avoided.

Any expression or sentence which may also refer to an extent of protection beyond the actual invention like "modifications (...) to the described invention (...) without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention" is also objectionable. Furthermore the reference

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/051086

to an "implicite or inherent disclosure" is irrelevant.

The insertion of such sentences would suggest that the subject-matter as presently disclosed does not cover properly the claimed scope. Any expression which can be interpreted as an unjustified extension of the claimed scope should be objected. The specification should be clear and sufficient by itself. A precautionary measure on the limits of the scope is therefore superfluous and even misleading as it finally prevents a proper definition of the invention and opens the way to speculations (of skilled persons) about the very inventive subject-matter. Consequently any element against clarity has to be deleted.

4.2 References to methods of treatment or diagnostic methods as "embodiments" of the invention must be avoided as they infringe the PCT requirements. Terms and/or passages which are not essential to the definition and the understanding of the invention as claimed are superfluous and therefore do not need to appear and to be defined in the description.

#### Re Item VII

To meet the requirements of Rule 27(1)b) EPC, cited prior art documents should be identified in the description and the relevant background art disclosed therein should be briefly discussed.